Dkt: 1565.062US1

REMARKS

This responds to the Office Action mailed on February 16, 2007.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-8, 12, 15, and 19 are amended; claims 18 and 21-27 are cancelled without prejudice to the Applicants; as a result, claims 1-17 and 19-20 are now pending in this application. Example support for the amendments may be found throughout the specification, by way of example the Examiner's attention is directed to page 5 second full paragraph, page 13 second full paragraph, etc.

Claim Objections

Claims 7, 12, 19 and 26 were objected to for informalities. These informalities as identified by the Examiner have been corrected by amendments in the manner requested by the Examiner. Thus, these objections are now moot and should be withdrawn.

§101 Rejection of the Claims

Claim 21 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Although Applicants disagree with the Examiner's contention with this point, claims 21-27 have been cancelled, so this rejection is no a moot point. Moreover, Applicants reserve the right to file later continuations directed to the subject matter of claims 21-27.

§112 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 3, 5 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for indefiniteness. Each of the claims rejected now recited that the client is the "non-authenticated client;" thus, the perceived ambiguity with these three claims has been corrected in the manner requested by the Examiner. Accordingly, the rejections with respect to this aspect of the rejection of claim 5 and the rejections of claims 3 and 7 have now been addressed and the rejections should be withdrawn.

Claim 5 was also rejected because the Examiner failed to see what aspect of the method was receiving the modified request. Applicants have corrected this ambiguity in the amended version of claim 5. Thus, this rejection is no longer appropriate and should be withdrawn.

Filing Date: October 31, 2003

Title: TECHNIQUES FOR PRESERVING CONTENT DURING A REDIRECTION FOR AUTHENTICATION

§103 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 14-16, 18-21, 24 and 26-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deen et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0167317) in view of Burrows et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2005/0055434). It is of course fundamental that in order to sustain an obviousness rejection that each and every step or element in the rejected claims must be taught or suggested in the proposed combination of references.

Burrows is directed to assisting a server that cannot perform some protocols or operations by enlisting another server to perform those protocols or operations on its behalf. In Burrows, two servers cooperate to fulfill a request of a client, with one server performing some operations and the other being consulted as need to perform other operations. This interaction is unknown to the client. As but one example, see paragraph 39 and 40 of Burrows.

For purposes of maintaining the rejection, the Examiner has identified one of the cooperating servers as being the client recited in the Applicants' claims and then generally recites paragraphs 59-64 that discusses interactions between the two servers. Applicants realize that the terms in the claims are to be given their broadest possible interpretation and that this is why the Examiner has done this. However, it is noted that this misses the entire point of Applicants' invention, where a client (user) can provide content-bearing data, such as form information, to a service before that client is authenticated and then become authenticated and not have to again manually re-supply the content after successfully authentication. This is not an insignificant issue with commerce on the World-Wide Web.

Correspondingly, Applicants have amended the independent claims to preclude the interpretation made by the Examiner of the Burrows reference and to focus more specifically on what the Applicants' have provided. Applicants believe that these limitations are not now taught in either of the references either specifically or inherently. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections of record be withdrawn and the claims be allowed.

Claims 2, 10, 17 and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deen et al. in view of Burrows et al. and in view of Bodin et al. (U.S. 6,604,106). These claims are dependent from either amended independent claims or cancelled claims; thus for the amendments, cancellations, and remarks presented above, these claims should now be allowed and the rejections withdrawn. Applicants respectfully request an indication of the same.

Title: TECHNIQUES FOR PRESERVING CONTENT DURING A REDIRECTION FOR AUTHENTICATION

Claims 4, 12, and 22-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deen et al. in view of Burrows et al. and in view of Agarwalla et al. (U.S. 6,985,936). These claims are dependent from either amended independent claims or cancelled claims; thus for the amendments, cancellations, and remarks presented above, these claims should now be allowed and the rejections withdrawn. Applicants respectfully request an indication of the same.

Claim 12 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deen et al. in view of Burrows et al. and in view of Rajan et al. (U.S. 6,871,220). Claim 12 is dependent from amended independent claim 8; therefore, for the amendments and remarks presented above with respect to independent claim 8, the rejection of claim 12 should be withdrawn and claim 12 allowed. Applicants respectfully request an indication of the same.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

In the interest of clarity and brevity, Applicant may not have addressed every assertion made in the Office Action. Applicant's silence regarding any such assertion does not constitute any admission or acquiescence. Applicant reserves all rights not exercised in connection with this response, such as the right to challenge or rebut any tacit or explicit characterization of any reference or of any of the present claims, the right to challenge or rebut any asserted factual or legal basis of any of the rejections, the right to swear behind any cited reference such as provided under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 or otherwise, or the right to assert co-ownership of any cited reference. Applicant does not admit that any of the cited references or any other references of record are relevant to the present claims, or that they constitute prior art. To the extent that any rejection or assertion is based upon the Examiner's personal knowledge, rather than any objective evidence of record as manifested by a cited prior art reference, Applicant timely objects to such reliance on Official Notice, and reserves all rights to request that the Examiner provide a reference or affidavit in support of such assertion, as required by MPEP § 2144.03. Applicant reserves all rights to pursue any cancelled claims in a subsequent patent application claiming the benefit of priority of the present patent application, and to request rejoinder of any withdrawn claim, as required by MPEP § 821.04.

Serial Number: 10/698,303 Filing Date: October 31, 2003

Title: TECHNIQUES FOR PRESERVING CONTENT DURING A REDIRECTION FOR AUTHENTICATION

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance, and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicants' attorney at (513) 942-0224 to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

HASHEM MOHAMMAD EBRAHIMI ET AL.

By their Representatives,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. Box 2938

Minneapolis, MN 55402

(513) 942-0224

Date <u>05/16/07</u>

Bv/

Joseph P. Mehrle

Reg. No. 45,535

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this day of May 2007.

Name

ighature